

Fort Belvoir, Fort Eustis, Fort Story, and Fort Monroe, Virginia Request for Qualifications solicitation DACA31-02-R-0005, dated 31 January 2002 is amended as stated below.

THE PROPOSAL DUE DATE IS NOT EXTENDED. OFFERS MUST BE RECEIVED NO LATER THAN 1 MARCH 2002 AT 1630 HOURS (4:30 P.M. EASTERN). THIS AMENDMENT IS PROVIDED IN ADOBE ACROBAT “.PDF” FILE ON THE INTERNET WEBSITE <http://rci.army.mil>

The following questions were presented in writing to the Contract Specialist by a potential offeror.

QUESTION 1: We noticed there is no signature block on the Reps and Certs, Appendix D, 52.212.3. Should it be signed and dated on the last page or will there be a modification?

ANSWER 1: The Reps and Certs do not require a signature, however, the fill-ins must be completed and page number 42 of the RFQ must be signed and dated.

QUESTION 2: We would like to include the resumes of key participants in an attachment. Will this affect our 75 page limit if we do this in this manner?

ANSWER 2: Resumes are considered as attachments and will not be considered as part of the 75 page limit in Step One. Resumes for individual participants are not required until step two of the solicitation, after the competitive range has been established. At that time, resumes are considered as attachments and will not be considered as part of the 25 page limit.

QUESTION 3: In Step 1, Part A, Section 4.2 the requirement reads: The Offeror has, within the past ten (10) years, served as the primary developer and principal sponsor (with an ownership interest) in at least three (3) completed development projects, or completed project phases, each of which included a residential component consisting of a minimum of 200 units... We are unsure of your intended interpretation. Does the Army mean to say that the projects were completed during that time period and consisted of at least 200 units by project end, or that at least 200 units were completed within that particular time period. Please explain.

ANSWER 3: The Army requires that a minimum of 200 units in each of the three (3) qualifying completed project or project phase must have been completed within the last 10 years.

QUESTION 4: In Step 1, Part B, Section 4.3.2 (e) & (f) the Army requests:

(e) Documentation which addresses and identifies the equity necessary (i.e., sources and amount to obtain financing for a project...

(f) Economics of sharing arrangements between the principal members and investors in past projects, including identification of all sources or return to the owners and investors.

Our concern here is the question of whether or not these requirements should be eliminated here, as they were omitted in the California Group solicitation. The California Group agreed that these were financial return questions, which would be addressed in Step 2 Parts A and B.

ANSWER 4: Submittals should follow the guidance as published in the Virginia RFQ which is totally independent of the California solicitation.